Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/822,192	MOON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher E. Lee	2112
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>RCE Filed on 21st of March 2005</u>		
(1) Christopher E. Lee (USPTO).	(3)	
(2) Christopher B. Eide (Reg. No. 48,375).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>26 May 2005</u>	Time: <u>12:05pm (est)</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: Claims 1-35		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Chaire de Ed.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informs that the application is allowable because of the allowable subject matter in the claims 21-33, and suggests the withdrawn claims 1-20, 34 and 35 being canceled in order to make the case 09/822,192 allow. The applicants' representative Mr. Christopher B. Eide (Reg. No. 48,375) agrees the cancellation of the withdrawn claims 1-20, 34 and 35 without prejudice.